

**MINUTES
COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE
PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES
VILLAGE OF ARLINGTON HEIGHTS
BOARD ROOM
MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2019 7:30 P.M.**

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: President Hayes; Trustees: Canty, LaBedz, Padovani, Rosenberg, Schwingbeck, Scaletta and Tinaglia

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: Trustee Baldino

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Randy Recklaus, Village Manager; Steve Touloumis, Director of Building and Life Safety Department; Mark Fink, Assistant Building Official and Kim Peterson, Recording Secretary

SUBJECTS:

- A. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Residential Code
- B. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2017 National Electrical Code
- C. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Building Code
- D. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Existing Building Code
- E. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code
- F. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Mechanical Code
- G. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Fuel and Gas Code
- H. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Fire Code
- I. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code

Other Business

Adjournment

President Hayes called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

A. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Residential

President Hayes advised that there is only one item on the agenda which is the consideration of the recommendations from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of various codes.

Mr. Recklaus explained how tonight's discussion will involve building codes, and unlike the liquor codes or zoning codes, building codes are extremely technical documents. Mr. Recklaus went on to say that the Village Board is not simply adopting or amending an ordinance that will reside in the Village Code, but adopting or amending one of a series of several hundred page technical manuals. Mr. Recklaus advised that the codes are not just developed by ACME Code Company, but by representatives of all trades on a national and international basis. Anyone can propose an amendment to these codes and essentially the codes are "crowd sourced" by architects, tradespeople, code enforcement officials and engineers all over the country. Input by professionals is already built into the process, which is why Staff has decided to minimize the number of local amendments, so that the rules in Arlington Heights will not be substantially different from those in other communities.

Mr. Recklaus explained how Staff in the Building and Life Safety Department, along with other Village Staff members, have spent the last few months going through these codes in detail. All of the Staff recommendations reflect input from this leadership team, as well as all of the inspectors. The Building Code Review Board met in October to review these recommendations and the formal authority to approve these changes comes from the Village Board. Mr. Recklaus advised that motions are needed on each individual code to proceed. Final approval will not occur until early 2020, which allows for additional input from the Building Code community and members of the public. Once adopted, the changes will be communicated to the contractors, the business community and to the public. Workshops will be offered to explain the changes and there will be a grace period for work that is already underway.

Mr. Recklaus advised that the focus of tonight's meeting is to discuss the Policy Level Items, approval of the Report outs from the BCRB and answering any questions the Board or public may have. Mr. Recklaus stated that these changes will provide more clarity to inspectors and individuals doing work, and a higher degree of consistency across the board.

Mr. Recklaus discussed how the fee structure is set to be updated in early 2020, as

well as the development of a new feedback mechanism, which will help determine what is working and what isn't. In addition, Staff will communicate the overall plan of improvement to the public and the business community in early 2020 and the interdepartmental Management Analyst Group will review the all of the permits in mid-2020. Lastly, Mr. Recklaus discussed how Staff will continue to work with the Chamber of Commerce and other entities for stronger ombudsman/permit expediting opportunities, as well as processing technology improvements.

Mr. Recklaus explained how the actual motions themselves will be discussed, beginning with the 2018 International Residential Code, and more specifically Staff's recommendation to eliminate roof permits.

Mr. Touloumis explained how the current code requires a permit for roofing, which Staff believes is of low value to residents, as inspectors cannot get up on a roof to really inspect what is going on during every phase of the construction process. Some mistakes can be identified from the ground, however critical elements of the roof, such as underlayment cannot be inspected, as the shingles cover this up. With the number of roofs that are replaced on a daily basis in town, it is virtually impossible to have someone inspect them all, as there can be no delay in a roof replacement. Roofers are licensed at a State level, therefore there is some level of assurance that there is some expertise involved with the roof replacement. Mr. Touloumis noted that commercial roofing that requires modification of structural, electrical, plumbing or HVAC systems, still needs a permit.

Mr. Touloumis advised that residents who experience problems with their roof installation will still be able to consult with the Building and Life Safety Department and receive an inspection report to bring back to their contractor. Staff will also provide educational tools to residents, to make sure they know what to look for when hiring a contractor, including checking on the State's website to make sure their contractor is licensed and insured. In addition, Staff will be available to answer any questions residents might have when it comes to the roof replacement process.

Mr. Touloumis next discussed the revenue which will be lost from not requiring roofing permits, which in 2018, totaled \$126,000. There will be less work involved, but this lost revenue is something Staff will need to look at, especially when the fee structure is being reviewed. The new fee schedule should be able to make up this lost revenue in other ways. Mr. Touloumis advised that this recommendation to eliminate roof permits is more of an administrative issue, versus a technical issue, which is why Staff did not discuss it with the BCRB.

President Hayes asked if the BCRB was aware of Staff's recommendation to eliminate roofing permits at their last meeting, which Mr. Touloumis indicated the information was made available to them, however, they may have overlooked it, as they most likely were focusing on the technical issues. President Hayes asked for clarification regarding the BCRB not agreeing with Staff's recommendation about the fire sprinklers. Mr. Recklaus indicated that despite some initial disagreements between the BCRB and Staff, the fire sprinkler issue is the only remaining one that the BCRB disagrees with Staff's recommendation.

Trustee Scaletta agrees with Staff's recommendation to eliminate roof permits, however he is interested in knowing how Staff is going to get the word out that residents should be checking for licenses and insurance when hiring a roofing company. Mr. Touloumis explained how his Department will be updating their web pages on the Village's website to provide this information, as well as prepare some talking points for Staff to use when calls are received. In addition, Mr. Recklaus advised that Staff will be doing some outreach, particularly when construction season begins, via social media, to offer residents resources and helpful tips when hiring a contractor. Trustee Scaletta asked if contractors are currently required to show that they are licensed, which Mr. Touloumis indicated they are. Trustee Scaletta discussed the lost revenue that will result from not requiring roof permits and is unsure if this money can necessarily be made up for by a reduction in Staff. Mr. Recklaus indicated that the fee structure itself will be reviewed and this lost revenue will be taken into account when setting the other fees.

Trustee Schwingbeck asked about the 640 roofing permits that were processed in 2018, and why only 308 called for inspection. Mr. Touloumis stated that they just didn't ask for an inspection. In addition, Mr. Recklaus indicated they are a variety of reasons why someone may not call for an inspection. Trustee Schwingbeck is concerned with the fact that of the 308 who called for an inspection, 8% had issues. Trustee Schwingbeck believes roofs are extremely important and is concerned with residents having to assume the responsibility of making sure a contractor is licensed and insured. Trustee Schwingbeck is interested in knowing if Staff can still require contractors to fill out paperwork and show proof that they are licensed and insured, if the roof permits are eliminated. Mr. Recklaus stated that Staff will still be able to assist residents with looking up contractors to see if they are licensed. Trustee Schwingbeck asked if there is any mechanism in place that flags lousy contractors, so that residents can stay away from these companies, which Mr. Touloumis indicated there is not. Trustee Tinaglia has long wished for a "grading" system when it comes to identifying both good and bad contractors. Trustee Tinaglia thinks this information would be very helpful to residents, although he understands legally it could become a problem. Mr. Recklaus advised that the Village cannot show favoritism to any one company, which Trustee Schwingbeck is not suggesting.

Trustee Rosenberg is concerned with contractors not needing to prove they are licensed if the roof permits are eliminated. Trustee Rosenberg asked if permits are currently needed for windows and siding, which Mr. Touloumis advised permits are needed for windows but not siding. Trustee Rosenberg is concerned with the quality of contractors coming into town to do work once they are aware a permit is not needed. Mr. Touloumis stated that residents who are unhappy with the quality of work performed by a particular contractor should file a complaint with the State licensing board, as the State may take action against a contractor if multiple complaints are received. Mr. Recklaus stated that there are a lot of people having new roofs put on their homes without getting permits. Furthermore, the time Staff is spending issuing permits, has not proven to provide much value to the residents, which is why Staff is making this recommendation. Trustee Rosenberg suggests that Staff have their information updated on the website as soon as this change goes into effect, so that residents are fully informed.

Trustee Scaletta believes that the way things are currently with roofing permits, residents are experiencing a false sense of security, as they may be under the impression that getting a permit implies that an inspection will be done. Trustee Scaletta is concerned that when roofers from other areas find out our town no longer requires a permit, may come in and take advantage of unsuspecting residents. Trustee Scaletta asked if there is a way the Board can receive feedback on a regular basis, which Mr. Recklaus indicated that this issue can move forward as a "pilot program" and the Board can re-visit it in a year. Mr. Recklaus explained how Staff will be looking into putting out a community wide survey on a bi-annual or tri-annual basis, to get feedback, and is already in the process of developing an ongoing survey for permit processes. Trustee Scaletta asked what the effective date of this initiative is, which Mr. Recklaus stated that it should be sometime in January or February of 2020.

Trustee Canty asked what would happen to the permits that have already been issued in the interim, which Mr. Recklaus indicated that Staff would continue to work with the residents and inspect them as they do now. Trustee Canty asked if the fees would be refunded, which Mr. Touloumis stated that anyone who submitted their application for a permit before the adoption of the new code would be considered submitted under the old code and the fees would apply.

Trustee Scaletta thinks it would be a good idea for the Board to receive feedback in a year, as he does not want to find out in five years that something that was done with good intentions, is not working.

Jon Ridler, Arlington Heights Chamber of Commerce, explained how the Chamber can help residents with this issue, as they can be a conduit for referrals and help residents find a quality roofing company.

Keith Moens, Arlington Heights resident, is concerned with relaxing the regulations on roofers, as the roofers are somewhat on their own right now because nobody wants to get up on roofs to inspect. Regulation and oversight is something the Village should continue to do. Mr. Moens asked if there still will be standards for the roofs, which Mr. Recklaus stated that all of the same rules in Arlington Heights will still be in effect and residents will still be able to notify the Village if their roof was not done right.

Bob Flubacker, Arlington Heights resident and former BCRB member, thinks that this will be a big mistake, as he feels there will be roofs going on that will not comply with the building code. Mr. Flubacker indicated that most roof problems manifest themselves years after the project has been completed, thereby making it nearly impossible for residents to file a complaint with the Village. Mr. Flubacker explained how he has been working in Arlington Heights for 30 years and has never been on a job site during, or seen an issue with a roof inspection. Mr. Flubacker stated that he is not even aware that the Village inspects roofs.

Trustee Scaletta has a problem with the Village charging a fee for the permit, but not going up on the roof to inspect it. All the Village does is check to see the

contractor has a license and is insured, which is something residents can do on their own. Trustee Scaletta thinks maybe this issue should go back to the BCRB for their review. Mr. Recklaus stated that the role of the BCRB is to review technical issues, which Mr. Recklaus is unsure if this is technical issue. Mr. Recklaus indicated that Staff will do whatever the Board directs them to do though.

Trustee Canty is concerned with residents feeling a false sense of security when a roofing permit is issued, as the Village is not actually inspecting the roof, and does not see the value to our residents.

Trustee Tinaglia stated that he is not so worried about protecting people from themselves, but does think it is a good idea to educate residents and advise them where to look to find roofers that are licensed and approved by the State.

Trustee Schwingbeck feels very strongly about roof systems and thinks that perhaps Staff can choose which houses they want to go out and inspect, particularly those that are being done by contractors that the Village has had problems with in the past. Mr. Touloumis advised that Staff would still have to go out and inspect roofs if residents call and request inspections. Trustee Schwingbeck believes there might be other home improvement projects that do not need permits, but thinks that roof permits are pretty important.

Trustee LaBedz is concerned that there may be some liability issues with permits being issued and inspections not being done, as residents may assume an inspection is part of the process and then blame the Village for problems they may experience with their roof in the future. Eliminating the permit does not eliminate the building code itself, therefore the roof should be replaced according to code.

Jim Platt, Business Development Director, Arlington Heights Chamber of Commerce, thinks that putting out a resource and educating residents is a smart idea. In addition, Mr. Platt advised that people can hire a home inspector to inspect the roof if they so wish. Mr. Platt believes that overall this is a good idea.

Trustee Rosenberg asked if the State requirement for roofing is different from Arlington Heights. Mr. Touloumis is not sure if the State has a roofing code per se, however they have a licensing requirement that meets minimum industry requirements. Mr. Flubacker advised there are no technical roof code requirements in the State. The code requirements are the model codes and are adopted by the individual municipalities. Trustee Rosenberg asked if a roofer is then obligated to be familiar with that municipality's code for roofing, which Mr. Flubacker indicated they are.

President Hayes believes the discussion regarding eliminating roof permits was a good one and advised the next item to be discussed is Staff's recommendation to eliminate fire sprinklers for 1 and 2 family detached dwellings.

Mr. Recklaus explained how Staff is recommending, as part of the International Residential Code, the elimination of the requirement for fire sprinklers in 1 and 2 family detached dwellings. Currently, the Village does not require residential

sprinklers for 1 and 2 family detached dwellings. The new standard code does require them. The BCRB did not agree with the Staff's recommendation, as they prefer the standard code. In addition, there was some disagreement amongst Staff, which meant the Village Manager was obligated to break the tie. Mr. Recklaus discussed the pros and cons of this issue, beginning with the pros and the fact that having fire sprinklers in single family dwellings provides a higher level of protection. All of our neighboring communities other than Prospect Heights and Buffalo Grove currently require them. The fire loads are much higher now than in the past, which increases the risk. Mr. Recklaus went on to discuss the cons and explained that this would add on average \$15,000 to the cost of a new home construction. Arlington Heights has a superior fire department, with an average of 19 fires per year since 2008. Staff believes the addition of this regulation would reduce investment in our community and replacement of aging housing stock, which may discourage some people from building a home here. In addition, it would make smaller, more affordable detached housing, less financially feasible. Lastly, the new code, even without the fire sprinkler requirement, will provide higher safety.

Mr. Recklaus noted that the Fire Department did indicate they prefer sprinklers. Mr. Recklaus advised that the only difference between Staff recommendations and the BCRB's recommendations is 313.2.

President Hayes advised that this issue has come up several times since he has been on the Board and in each instance the Board has chosen not to go with the sprinkler requirement in residential homes for the reasons (cons) that Mr. Recklaus has indicated.

Trustee Canty asked about smoke alarms and if they still need to be interconnected, which Mr. Touloumis indicated they do.

Trustee LaBedz finds it interesting that many of our neighboring communities currently require sprinklers and wonders if there is proof that this requirement affects builds in those towns. Mr. Recklaus explained how developers consider this requirement a deterrent when they discuss the cost involved. Furthermore, Staff has not done a deep analysis of the number of tear downs as compared to some of our neighboring communities, and the housing stock is not as old as ours, which makes it difficult to do a comparison. Mr. Recklaus advised that the Village can expect that this requirement would have an economic impact on teardowns. Trustee LaBedz feels that the rationale for not doing something when it comes to safety, is always that it costs too much, regardless of what it is. Trustee LaBedz asked if anyone who has a teardown is opting to put sprinklers in, which Mr. Touloumis stated he is not aware of anyone doing this on their own.

Trustee Tinaglia believes the damage that can occur if a sprinkler goes off inadvertently, as opposed to the savings that it might create if there is a fire, can be quite lopsided. Trustee Tinaglia explained how this code is written for communities all across the country, and small towns that do not have the benefit of a top notch fire department like we do, need to have this. Trustee Tinaglia does not think it makes sense for our Village to have this requirement, as it is costly, and if the goal is make homes affordable in our community, the Village cannot keep

adding on additional costs. Trustee Tinaglia strongly agrees with Staff on this issue.

Trustee Rosenberg asked what kind of measures the Village will have in place to aid in fire safety of a home. Mr. Touloumis explained how the new IRC requires a drywall layer of protection underneath the floor if a modern engineered flooring systems is being used and sprinklers are not installed. Mr. Recklaus advised that this type of protection is meant to address the potential of firefighters falling through the floor during a house fire. Trustee Rosenberg asked if there are any other measures being taken to protect the integrity of the structure, which Mr. Touloumis indicated there are not. Mr. Touloumis stated that a home's furnishings provide the greatest threat to a fire starting and spreading.

Trustee Scaletta asked when the codes were last updated, which Mr. Touloumis indicated it was in 2010. Trustee Scaletta then asked if fire sprinkler systems require annual maintenance, which Mr. Touloumis stated they do. Trustee Scaletta next asked if fire sprinkler systems are connected to a fire alarm, which Mr. Touloumis stated they are not, particularly in single family homes. Trustee Scaletta understands the pros of having a fire sprinkler system, but believes there are more cons.

Keith Moens, questioned the \$15,000 cost of installing one of these systems. Mr. Touloumis indicated that in some homes it may cost more and in some homes it may cost less to install, depending on the size of the home. This is just an average cost.

President Hayes asked for a motion on the two subsets of Motion 1. Mr. Recklaus indicated that the Staff recommended motion would incorporate both the elimination of roofing permits and elimination of fire sprinklers for 1 and 2 family detached dwellings.

Trustee Schwingbeck asked if the first motion can be broken out into two, as these issues are so different, which President Hayes stated they can.

Trustee Schwingbeck moved, seconded by Trustee Scaletta, that the Village Board adopt the 2018 International Residential Code in accordance with Staff's recommended amendments, except for the elimination of the roofing permits.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

1. Trustee Scaletta moved, seconded by Trustee Tinaglia, that the Village Board eliminate the roof permit process and ask Staff to report back with the progress of the elimination of it, in one year of the effective date.

The Motion: Passed

Ayes: Scaletta, Tinaglia, Padovani, Rosenberg, LaBedz, Canty, Hayes

Nays: Schwingbeck

B. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2017 National Electrical Code

Mr. Touloumis explained how this code was restructured, as a lot of the information was redundant. In addition, there were a lot of amendments that are now standard code language, and some amendments were simply eliminated.

Trustee Tinaglia asked Mr. Touloumis how many local amendments there were before as compared to how many there are now, which Mr. Touloumis explained that before the changes were made, there were 68 pages and there are now 3 or 4. Trustee Tinaglia advised that the point of all of this is to make everything standard, which is a huge benefit to everyone who has to work with it.

Trustee Scaletta moved, seconded by Trustee LaBedz, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2017 National Electrical Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

C. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Building Code

Mr. Touloumis advised that there is language that does eliminate the roofing permit for commercial roofs, unless there is structural, plumbing, and electrical or HVAC work. A permit would then be needed.

Trustee Rosenberg asked why the Village would not inspect all commercial roofs. Mr. Touloumis explained that this is not done because all of the critical elements of the roof cannot be inspected, particularly the underlayment. Trustee Rosenberg asked if there have been any issues with commercial roofs, which Mr. Touloumis indicated he is not aware of any. Mr. Touloumis advised that there is a higher level of licensing at the State level to do commercial roofs.

Trustee Schwingbeck moved, seconded by Trustee Canty, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Building Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

D. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Existing Building Code

Mr. Recklaus, referring to the International Existing Building Code, noted the standard code actually reduces the requirements for commercial construction in certain circumstances.

Mr. Touloumis explained how the International Existing Building Code use to be a chapter in the International Building Code, however it is now been expanded into its own separate code to be more useful. Staff has amended the International Existing Building Code so that when they are dealing with commercial additions and change of use, the standard sprinkler requirements that are in the amended IBC are not being used until the standard code thresholds are met, which are higher than what the amended thresholds are.

Trustee Scaletta asked how many cases have come before the BCRB for this reason, which Mr. Touloumis indicated one or two. Trustee Scaletta asked if Staff really wants to reduce the level that now exists over two cases in three years. Mr. Touloumis thinks it affords the opportunity for people to consider expanding their businesses without having to jump through extra hoops. Mr. Recklaus advised that it is not automatic that you have to get sprinklers. If you are in a use that is considered more high risk, you would. If you are in a use that is less high risk, you wouldn't. Mr. Touloumis explained that the current threshold is zero square feet, if you add one square foot, you have to add sprinklers to it. Trustee Scaletta asked if an individual comes to the Building Department with a reasonable request, does Staff tell them they can go in front of the BCRB to seek relief. Mr. Touloumis indicated they do not immediately tell someone this. Mr. Recklaus explained that Staff will tell the individual what the code requires and if they think it is going to be a problem and ask what can be done, Staff will tell them they can go in front of the BCRB.

Trustee LaBedz asked if this code is for existing buildings and the other codes that were being discussed referred to new buildings. Trustee Tinaglia advised that this for commercial buildings. Trustee LaBedz asked if there is an existing building code for residential buildings. Mr. Touloumis advised that the rules for 1 and 2 family existing buildings, exist within the 1 and 2 family code. Mr. Recklaus, for sake of clarification, explained that commercial additions will not trigger a sprinkler requirement until the standard threshold is exceeded.

Trustee Rosenberg asked if there is a 3,000 square foot building and you add 1,000 square feet and the threshold remains under 5,000, the 1,000 square feet will not trigger the sprinkler requirement, which Mr. Touloumis indicated this is correct. Trustee Rosenberg then went onto ask if the use can trigger the sprinkler requirement, which Mr. Touloumis indicated that it would. Mr. Recklaus advised there would be different thresholds for every type of use. Trustee Tinaglia advised that every building type is given a classification of use and the use and square footage will dictate if sprinklers will be required. Trustee Rosenberg wants to be sure that the Board is not easing the current ordinance and things that should be sprinkled are not. Mr. Recklaus advised that the same standards that apply to new buildings should be applied to expansion of existing buildings. Trustee Rosenberg asked if it also depends on the type of materials the structure was originally built with. Mr. Touloumis does not believe that the construction type affects sprinkler requirements.

Trustee Scaletta moved, seconded by Trustee Canty, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Existing Building Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

E. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code

Mr. Recklaus indicated that there are no policy level issues with the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code and asked Mr. Touloumis if he had any issues with this one, which he replied no.

Trustee Padovani moved, seconded by Trustee Schwingbeck, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Property Maintenance

Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

F. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Mechanical Code

Mr. Recklaus indicated that there are no policy level issues with the 2018 International Mechanical Code and asked Mr. Touloumis if he had any issues with this one, which he replied no.

Trustee Tinaglia moved, seconded by Trustee Rosenberg, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Mechanical Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

G. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Fuel and Gas Code

Mr. Recklaus clarified that this item should read 2018 International Fuel Gas Code and not 2018 International Fuel *and* Gas Code. In addition, Mr. Recklaus indicated there are no policy level issues.

Trustee LaBedz moved, seconded by Trustee Canty, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Fuel Gas Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

H. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Fire Code

Mr. Recklaus indicated there are not policy level issues with the 2018 International Fire Code.

Trustee Tinaglia moved, seconded by Trustee Padovani, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation

of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Fire Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

- I. Consideration of the Recommendation from the Building Code Review Board meeting of October 25, 2019 regarding adoption of the 2018 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code

Mr. Recklaus indicated there are not policy level issues with the 2018 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code.

Trustee Canty moved, seconded by Trustee Tinaglia, to recommend that the Village Board concur with the recommendation of the BCRB to adopt the 2018 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code as amended.

Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Recklaus advised that Staff will continue to work with Legal to finalize the updates and submit to the Board for approval in early in early 2020.

Other Business

None

Adjournment

Trustee Scaletta moved, seconded by Trustee Tinaglia, to adjourn the meeting at 9:35 p.m. Upon a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.