



AGENDA
DESIGN COMMISSION
Commissions Room, 2nd Floor
Arlington Heights Village Hall, 33 S. Arlington Heights Rd.
Arlington Heights, IL 60005
May 27, 2025
6:30 PM

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS
- III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
 - A. Minutes - 5/13/25
- IV. OLD BUSINESS
- V. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. 1515 N. Fernandez Pl. - SF/Teardown - DC25-031
- VI. OTHER BUSINESS
- VII. PUBLIC COMMENT

Anyone wishing to speak on a subject not on the Agenda may speak at this time. Please limit your comments to three minutes.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services, such as an American Sign Language interpreter or written materials in accessible formats, should contact the Health & Human Services Department, at 33 S. Arlington Heights Road, Arlington Heights, IL 60005, healthmail@vah.com or 847/368-5760.

DRAFT

MINUTES OF THE VILLAGE OF ARLINGTON HEIGHTS DESIGN COMMISSION

ARLINGTON HEIGHTS MUNICIPAL BUILDING
33 S. ARLINGTON HEIGHTS RD.
MAY 13, 2025

Chair Kingsley called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

Members Present: Kirsten Kingsley, Chair
Ted Eckhardt
John Fitzgerald
Jonathan Kubow

Members Absent: Scott Seyer

Also Present: Brett Kroner & Stefanie Monaco, Owners of 832 N. Forrest Ave.
Marie Jung & Martin Mondragem, Home Services Direct for 832 N. Forrest
Terry Adams, JTS Architects for *Slick City*
Nancy Lorenz, Brixmor Property Group for *Slick City*
Steve Hautzinger, Planning Staff

REVIEW OF MEETING MINUTES FOR APRIL 22, 2025

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COMMISSIONER KUBOW, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD, TO APPROVE THE MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 22, 2025. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

ITEM 1. SINGLE-FAMILY ADDITION REVIEW**DC#25-029 – 832 N. Forrest Ave.**

Marie Jung & Martin Mondragen, representing *Home Services Direct*, and **Brett Kroner & Stefanie Monaco**, the homeowners, were present on behalf of the project.

Mr. Hautzinger presented Staff comments. The petitioner is proposing first and second-floor additions to an existing one-and-a-half-story house. An existing two car attached garage will remain. The plans comply with the R-E single-family zoning requirements. This property is on a street of large lots, where the minimum lots size is 20,000 sf and the minimum lot width is 100-feet, which is typical in the R-E zoning district. Many of the original homes on this street have either been expanded with additions or replaced with new larger two-story houses. The scale of the proposed expanded house fits comfortably on the large lot and with the surrounding context. However, the Design Commission should evaluate the massing of the proposed design which is unusual with an off-center dormer above the existing garage and a divided second-floor. The proposed materials and colors for the house are also quite busy, with a combination of white horizontal siding, black vertical siding, white stucco, and buff limestone used on different parts of the house. The trim on the house is specified to be black, which is a bold look with white siding and white gutters. The Design Commission should evaluate the proposed massing and materials, which Staff recommends should be simplified for a more cohesive appearance.

Mr. Hautzinger said the petitioner brought a color rendering tonight that appears to be different than the plans submitted for review tonight. **Mr. Mondragen** clarified that the rendering is very much the same design; the only thing added is cosmetic which are the columns at the front door and the change in roof style above the front door. **Mr. Hautzinger** said the rendering has different colors from the plans in the packet.

Mr. Kroner said they want the proposed addition to accommodate their family with three boys. **Ms. Jung** said the color rendering presented tonight is slightly different at the front entry, the stone and siding have not changed, but the siding color has changed from white to a darker color. There is no change to the black windows or the black trim, but the horizontal siding will be black. The vertical siding and stucco have been eliminated. **Mr. Mondragen** said that they intend to use LP siding on the sides and rear of the house, and real wood siding on the front. **Stefanie Monaco** said they worked with their Architect who pushed them in the direction of all stucco, which matches the homes across the street. They originally liked the white and black color combination; however, it is exactly like their neighbors' home so they decided to go in the direction of cream stone with black siding as shown on the new rendering.

Mr. Hautzinger stated that the siding color on the rendering looks like dark blue, and **Ms. Monaco** clarified that they are proposing black siding. **Mr. Mondragen** said that the front elevation consists of mostly stone, with black cedar wood siding as accents, black metal roofing, and black soffits and fascia. **Ms. Monaco** presented a photo of an all black house with stone accents, which is what they want to do.

Chair Kingsley asked if there was any public comment on the project and there was no response from those in the audience.

The commissioners summarized their comments. **Commissioner Fitzgerald** appreciated the new color rendering presented tonight, which he felt ties the design together a bit more than what is in the packet, especially the area over the garage. He liked the revised colors presented, and of the 3 stone samples presented tonight, his least favorite is the smaller one

called Ellis Fischer because it seems a little cool with the other materials. He liked the sophistication of the stone sample that is more buff/cream color. He was still struggling to understand the rooflines; the space in between the two gables on the front looks uncomfortably low for the rest of the home, and he suggested raising it to make it look like it belongs. He was struggling with how all the rooflines will look when traveling south down Forrest Avenue.

Commissioner Eckhardt agreed with Commissioner Fitzgerald on the massing of the front gable. The second floor gable roof adjacent to the front door will look a little odd where it cuts off at the chimney. After seeing the floor plan, he understood why the roofline on the front elevation goes up, down, and back up; however, he is mostly concerned about the massing of the right gable.

Commissioner Kubow appreciated the homeowners' decision to renovate the existing home and not tear it down, and he understood the challenges of adding on to an existing home. He said the proposed rooflines are unique and will provide a lot of visual interest; however, he cautioned that it could cause water intrusion issues down the road. He encouraged the homeowners to work with their contractor and architect to ensure that the elements are properly detailed. **Commissioner Kubow** loved the rendering presented tonight that is more cohesive on the front elevation than the original design, and he loved the revised color palette that is a bit better than the stark white and black combination original proposed. He loved the natural wood material on the front elevation that will be a strong element, and he stressed the importance of what is chosen for the left, right, and rear elevations. Although the rooflines are unique, he had no strong recommendation either way. Overall, this is a great home.

Chair Kingsley appreciated the unique design details and the existing home being renovated and not torn down, and she agreed that the homeowners should make sure they understand all the details being proposed and how everything will look. She preferred the design of the front door entry on the original submittal which is more contemporary and also would not trap water. She recommended that it be studied more closely. She liked the smallness of the garage massing in the original submittal better than the garage in the color rendering that is larger than the entry. She had no issue with the materials being proposed because the front elevation is very sophisticated; however, it is hard to see the details of the columns at the front entry that are shown in the rendering but not the original drawings. The petitioner said the columns are 6x6 stained wood.

Mr. Hautzinger clarified for everyone that the concern being discussed is that the side of the new second-story gable roof does not go all the way to the back of the house, but instead it stops at the chimney. This massing will read more like a tower feature than a continuous gable, which is a little unusual in the massing of a home. **Mr. Hautzinger** also pointed out that the left gable shown in the new rendering is inaccurate; it does not show the revision that was done to avoid encroaching into the required 10-foot side setback, as shown on the drawings in the packet. **Ms. Monaco** said the rendering is not meant to replace the drawings that were previously submitted, it is only meant to give an idea of color and style.

Commissioner Fitzgerald questioned whether 6x6 posts are too small for the large size of the home; he felt the posts could be heavier and he questioned if it was necessary to have the little stone bases at the bottom of the posts. His main issue is that he was still struggling with the low height of the roof between the two gables. **Chair Kingsley** said although she liked the revised color palette, she was confused about what design is actually being proposed tonight. The changes shown in the rendering are not detailed enough for her to make a decision.

Mr. Hautzinger said if the homeowners want to pursue the design as shown in the rendering,

it would require a variation through the Zoning Board of Appeals for the side yard setback encroachment, which was identified during the initial design review with the petitioner. That is when the petitioner revised the plans to meet code and avoid a variation; those plans are included in the packet for tonight's review. The homeowners confirmed that they do not want to pursue the variation, so the proposed design is as shown in the meeting packet, not the rendering. However, the petitioner said their preference is for the revised gable roof at the front entry and the revised materials and colors that as shown in the color rendering.

Mr. Hautzinger summarized that the proposed design is as shown on the plans in the packet, with the entry feature changed to the gable as shown on the new rendering, and that all of the materials and colors on the home will be black, except for the stone.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COMMISSIONER ECKHARDT, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD, TO APPROVE THE DESIGN OF THE PROPOSED ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN FOR AN ADDITION TO THE EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY HOME LOCATED AT 832 N. FORREST AVENUE. THIS RECOMMENDATION IS BASED ON PLANS RECEIVED 4/18/25, DESIGN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS, COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE AND VILLAGE CODES, REGULATION AND POLICIES, THE ISSUANCE OF ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, AND THE FOLLOWING:

1. AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE CONCEPT RENDERING SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TONIGHT WHICH REPRESENTS MODIFICATIONS ONLY TO THE EXTERIOR COLORS AND SOME MATERIALS, AND MODIFICATIONS TO THE FRONT DOOR ENTRY DESIGN.
2. A REQUIREMENT THAT THE PETITIONER SUBMIT A DETAILED DRAWING OF THE NEW FRONT ENTRY DESIGN INCLUDING DIMENSIONED DETAILS OF THE COLUMNS.
3. A REQUIREMENT FOR STAFF TO REVIEW ANY MODIFICATIONS TO THE SOUTH END OF THE GARAGE FOR ZONING CODE COMPLIANCE.
4. A RECOMMENDATION TO CONSIDER OMITTING THE LOW STONE BASE ON THE RIGHT GABLE.
5. A RECOMMENDATION TO KEEP THE FRONT DOOR DESIGN AS SHOWN IN THE PACKET, IN LIEU OF THE FRONT DOOR DESIGN AS SHOWN IN THE COLOR RENDERING.
6. A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PETITIONER WORK WITH THEIR ARCHITECT TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE 3-DIMENSIONS OF THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE 2-STORY ADDITION WHERE IT STOPS AT THE FIREPLACE, TO ENSURE THAT THE HOMEOWNERS ARE HAPPY WITH THE DESIGN.
7. A RECOMMENDATION TO USE THE BUFF COLORED STONE MATERIAL.
8. THIS REVIEW DEALS WITH ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED TO BE AN APPROVAL OF, OR TO HAVE ANY OTHER IMPACT ON, NOR REPRESENT ANY TACIT APPROVAL OR SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSED LAND USE OR ANY OTHER ZONING AND/OR LAND USE ISSUES OR DECISIONS THAT STEM FROM ZONING, BUILDING, SIGNAGE OR ANY OTHER REVIEWS. IN ADDITION TO THE NORMAL TECHNICAL REVIEW, PERMIT DRAWINGS WILL BE REVIEWED FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE DESIGN COMMISSION AND ANY OTHER COMMISSION OR BOARD APPROVAL CONDITIONS. IT IS THE PETITIONER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO INCORPORATE ALL REQUIREMENTS LISTED ON THE CERTIFICATE OF APROPRIATENESS INTO THE PERMIT DRAWINGS, AND TO ENSURE THAT BUILDING PERMIT PLANS AND SIGN PERMIT PLANS COMPLY WITH ALL ZONING CODE, BUILDING CODE AND SIGN CODE REQUIREMENTS.
9. COMPLIANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND VILLAGE CODES, REGULATIONS AND POLICIES.

Chair Kingsley said the style of windows in the original drawings are different than those in the rendering presented. She suggested that the petitioner revisit the windows on the home, such as will the windows have muntins or not, and will there be circular windows or not. Also, consider making them larger or a different style for a better view of the backyard, and submit to Staff for review.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COMMISSIONER ECKHARDT TO AMEND THE MOTION TO ADD THE FOLLOWING:

- 10. THE PETITIONER REVIEW THE WINDOW SIZES AND STYLE FOR A COHESIVE APPEARANCE.**
- 11. A REQUIREMENT THAT STAFF REVIEW THE FINAL DESIGN FOR APPROVAL, OR BRING THE PROJECT BACK TO THE DESIGN COMMISSION FOR RE-REVIEW IF DETERMINED NECESSARY BY STAFF.**

COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD SECONDED THE AMENDED MOTION.

Mr. Hautzinger reiterated that the new rendering does not accurately depict the proposed color scheme for the home because based on tonight's discussion, the home is proposed to have an all black exterior with stone accents. **Mr. Hautzinger** wanted to confirm that this is the Design Commission understanding, which **Commissioner Eckhardt** confirmed.

**ECKHARDT, AYE; FITZGERALD, AYE; KUBOW, AYE; KINGSLEY, AYE.
ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.**

ITEM 2. COMMERCIAL REVIEW**DC#25-022 – Slick City – 780 W. Dundee Rd.**

Terry Adams, representing *JTS Architects* and **Nancy Lorenz**, representing *Brixmor Property Group*, were present on behalf of the project.

Mr. Hautzinger presented Staff comments. The petitioner is here tonight seeking approval of the proposed architectural design for a facade renovation to an existing retail building. This project does require Plan Commission review and Village Board approval for a PUD Amendment and a Special Use to allow a large amusement facility in the B-2 zoning district. The Design Commissions' review is limited to building and signage only.

The project includes modifications to an existing free-standing retail building at the Ridge Plaza Shopping Center. The building is approximately 30,000 square feet. The petitioner, Slick City, is proposing a new indoor amusement facility with indoor slides, sport courts, and climbing attractions. To accommodate the indoor space requirements for the proposed use, the roof and walls of the existing building are being raised, adding approximately twelve feet of height to the exterior appearance. The wall height extension is proposed to be a tan EIFS on top of the existing brown brick on all four sides of the building. The main entrance wall is proposed to be painted blue, and will include a new black metal canopy to highlight the entry doors. Yellow fabric awnings are proposed above the storefront windows to further enhance the design. Overall, Staff feels that the appearance of the proposed wall extension fits well with the existing building, and the proposed colorful façade design is nicely done and appropriate to highlight this unique amusement type business.

All rooftop mechanical equipment is required to be screened from view. The new parapet walls are sufficiently tall to provide full screening of all rooftop equipment on all sides of the building. With regards to signage, Code allows one wall sign per street frontage. The maximum size of the sign is limited to 25% of the wall area on which the sign is mounted. A separate sign permit application is required to verify that the size of the sign is code compliance, but overall the proposed sign design works very well with the colorful facade.

Overall, Staff recommends approval with the one comment to review the actual color samples provided tonight to confirm the color selections.

Mr. Adams presented samples of the colors being proposed, which include the existing brick color, existing entry color, proposed blue accent color, proposed awning color to match the existing, and the proposed EIFS color. **Mr. Hautzinger** clarified that the EIFS color has been changed from the "Pearl Gray" shown on the drawings to "Mocha Cream", which **Mr. Adams** confirmed and added that the coping on top of the EIFS will be dark bronze to match the existing coping on the building, and the metal awning will be black with black coping. **Mr. Adams** commented that the colors shown in the drawings/renderings are not completely accurate to how they will look in the outdoors.

Chair Kingsley asked if there was any public comment on the project and there was no response from those in the audience.

The commissioners reviewed the colors and materials being proposed, and summarized their comments. **Commissioner Eckhardt** loved the contrast of colors being proposed, he loved the yellow and the blue. He completely supported the project. **Commissioner Kubow** said the building looks great, but he felt a lighter color for the EIFS for the wall extension would help with the contrast from the top to the bottom; less of the beige and a little of a cooler color will help contrast in his opinion. **Mr. Hautzinger** presented a color study based on a

google street view snapshot of the existing building with a rough mock-up of the colors being proposed, to show a visual for how it will look. He felt that the lighter EIFS color looked too light and a darker EIFS color more similar to the existing brick had a better appearance. After seeing the color mock-up, **Commissioner Kubow** agreed that a darker EIFS color works better. He approves as proposed. **Commissioner Fitzgerald** asked if the dark bronze coping at the top of the building is very close to the color of the EIFS, and **Mr. Adams** said it is closer to the color of the brick. **Commissioner Fitzgerald** had concerns about the 3 different colors of metal on the building. Other than that, he liked the building and said the yellow and blue colors are fun. **Commissioner Eckhardt** asked what the intention is for painting the pair of service doors on the front elevation that appear to be white, and **Mr. Adams** said those metal doors will be painted to match the brick color. **Chair Kingsley** agreed that the proposed "Mocha Cream" EIFS color should be okay and blend in with the brick. With regards to the 3 different colors of metal being proposed, **Chair Kingsley** was okay with the bronze coping color at the top of the building if the service doors on the front elevation are painted bronze, and the same with the back doors. She also asked how the height of the parapet walls were determined, and **Mr. Adams** explained that the slides inside the building need a certain clearance. He added that the new wall will fully screen the rooftop mechanical equipment whereas the existing parapet does not completely screen the equipment. **Chair Kingsley** had no further comments.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COMMISSIONER KUBOW, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD, TO APPROVE THE DESIGN OF THE PROPOSED ARCHITECTURAL FAÇADE FOR SLICK CITY LOCATED AT 780 W. DUNDEE ROAD. THIS RECOMMENDATION IS BASED ON PLANS RECEIVED 3/4/25, COLOR RENDERING RECEIVED 4/7/25, DESIGN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS, COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE AND VILLAGE CODES, REGULATION AND POLICIES, THE ISSUANCE OF ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, AND THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. THAT THE EIFS COLOR FOR THE WALL EXTENSION SHALL BE 'MOCHA CREAM'.**
- 2. THIS REVIEW DEALS WITH ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED TO BE AN APPROVAL OF, OR TO HAVE ANY OTHER IMPACT ON, NOR REPRESENT ANY TACIT APPROVAL OR SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSED LAND USE OR ANY OTHER ZONING AND/OR LAND USE ISSUES OR DECISIONS THAT STEM FROM ZONING, BUILDING, SIGNAGE OR ANY OTHER REVIEWS. IN ADDITION TO THE NORMAL TECHNICAL REVIEW, PERMIT DRAWINGS WILL BE REVIEWED FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE DESIGN COMMISSION AND ANY OTHER COMMISSION OR BOARD APPROVAL CONDITIONS. IT IS THE PETITIONER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO INCORPORATE ALL REQUIREMENTS LISTED ON THE CERTIFICATE OF APROPRIATENESS INTO THE PERMIT DRAWINGS, AND TO ENSURE THAT BUILDING PERMIT PLANS AND SIGN PERMIT PLANS COMPLY WITH ALL ZONING CODE, BUILDING CODE AND SIGN CODE REQUIREMENTS.**

Commissioner Eckhardt acknowledged that the petitioner stated that the pair of service doors on the front of the building will be painted a color to match the brick.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COMMISSIONER KUBOW TO AMEND THE MOTION TO ADD THE FOLLOWING:

- 3. THAT THE DOUBLE DOORS ON THE FRONT ELEVATION SHALL MATCH IN COLOR TO THE BRICK.**

COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD SECONDED THE AMENDED MOTION.

**ECKHARDT, AYE; FITZGERALD, AYE; KUBOW, AYE; KINGSLEY, AYE.
ALL WERE IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.**

ITEM 3. OTHER BUSINESS

Public Comment

Keith Moens clarified the process of amending a motion, which can include 2 votes. One vote on the proposed amendment, and if it passes, then a second vote on the original motion as amended.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY COMMISSIONER KUBOW, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ECKHARDT, TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 7:45 P.M. ALL WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.



VILLAGE OF
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS
INC. 1887

Design Commission
5/27/2025

Item: 1515 N. Fernandez Pl. - SF/Teardown - DC25-031

Department: Planning & Community Development

Item Description:

Requested Action

Approval of the proposed architectural design for a new (teardown) single-family residence.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Design Commission approve the proposed new single-family residence to be located at 1515 N. Fernandez Place. This recommendation is subject to compliance with the architectural plans received 4/22/25, and the following:

1. A recommendation to change the trim, fascia, and soffits from black to white.
2. A recommendation to consider other siding color options, such as tan or beige, to better fit with the existing earth-tone character of the neighborhood.
3. This review deals with architectural design only and should not be construed to be an approval of, or to have any other impact on, any other zoning and/or land use issues or decisions that stem from zoning, building, signage or any other reviews. In addition to the normal technical review, permit drawings will be reviewed for consistency with the Design Commission and any other Commission or Board approval conditions. It is the architect/homeowner/builder's responsibility to comply with the Design Commission approval and ensure that building permit plans comply with all zoning code, building permit and signage requirements.
4. Compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and Village codes, regulations and policies.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Staff Report - 1515 N Fernandez Pl
2. Exhibits - 1515 N Fernandez Pl
3. Black-White Color Scheme Examples - FOR REFERENCE ONLY

STAFF DESIGN COMMISSION REPORT

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Name: 1515 N. Fernandez Place
Project Address: 1515 N. Fernandez Place
Prepared By: Steve Hautzinger

Date Prepared: May 22, 2025

PETITION INFORMATION:

DC Number: 25-031
Petitioner Name: John Haran
Petitioner Address: E&J Builders
346 N. Ferndale
Elmhurst, IL 60126
Meeting Date: May 27, 2025

Requested Action(s): Approval of the proposed architectural design for a new (teardown) single-family residence.

ANALYSIS:

Summary

The proposed design is being forwarded to the Design Commission for review to determine if it meets the standards, requirements, and intent of the Village of Arlington Heights Single-Family Design Guidelines, and Chapter 28 (Zoning Ordinance) of the Village of Arlington Heights Municipal Code.

The petitioner is proposing to demolish an existing single-story residence with one-car attached garage to allow construction of a new two-story residence with an attached, two-car garage. The subject site is zoned R-3, One Family Dwelling District. The property has a total land area of 8,957 square feet and the proposed residence will have 4,018 square feet. This project requires a minor front setback correction, but otherwise it complies with the R-3 zoning requirements as summarized below.

	ALLOWED	PROPOSED
Setbacks	Front: 30 feet Side: 6.6 feet Side: 6.6 feet Rear: 30 feet	Front: 29 feet Side: 7.4 feet Side: 7 feet Rear: 39.5 feet
Building Height	25 feet to the midpoint	25 feet to the midpoint
FAR	8,957 SF	4,018 SF
Building Lot Coverage	3,135 SF	2,482 SF
Impervious Surface Coverage Total	4,479 SF	3,744 SF

The existing neighborhood consists of primarily single-story homes with one car attached garages. This project is appearing before the Design Commission due to past concerns in this neighborhood regarding teardown/new construction, and to evaluate the proposed architectural design with the context of the neighborhood. Although the neighborhood is predominantly single-story homes, it should be noted that there are three other teardowns previously completed on this block, one which is directly next door, as well as numerous other similar teardowns previously completed throughout the neighborhood.


Overall, the proposed architectural design is nicely done, and it is consistent in scale with other newer homes in the neighborhood. The design includes a one-story front porch and garage roof which helps the scale of the house to fit with the context of single-story homes, although the north wall is a full two-stories located next to the adjacent single-story home. Ideally, the house would be flipped on the site, but an existing street light prevents the driveway from being located on the north.

The proposed white and black color scheme raises some concern in this location where most of the existing homes have an earth-tone color palette. The proposed black trim on white siding is especially concerning because of the bold appearance which does not fit with the character of the neighborhood. It is recommended that the trim, fascia and soffits be changed from black to white. Other siding colors, such as tan or beige, should also be considered to soften the appearance of the new larger house in this location.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Design Commission **approve** the proposed new single-family residence to be located at 1515 N. Fernandez Place. This recommendation is subject to compliance with the architectural plans received 4/22/25, and the following:

1. A recommendation to change the trim, fascia, and soffits from black to white.
2. A recommendation to consider other siding color options, such as tan or beige, to better fit with the existing earth tone character of the neighborhood.
3. This review deals with architectural design only and should not be construed to be an approval of, or to have any other impact on, any other zoning and/or land use issues or decisions that stem from zoning, building, signage or any other reviews. In addition to the normal technical review, permit drawings will be reviewed for consistency with the Design Commission and any other Commission or Board approval conditions. It is the architect/homeowner/builder's responsibility to comply with the Design Commission approval and ensure that building permit plans comply with all zoning code, building permit and signage requirements.
4. Compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and Village codes, regulations and policies.



May 22, 2025

Steve Hautzinger AIA, Design Planner
Department of Planning and Community Development


Cc: Petitioner, DC File 25-031



PLAT OF SURVEY

OF


LOT 22 IN BLOCK 5, IN HASBROOK SUBDIVISION UNIT NO. 3, OF PART OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 11, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN RECORDER'S OFFICE ON SEPTEMBER 08, 1959, AS DOCUMENT 1731140 AND FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF TITLES AS DOCUMENT NUMBER LR1816395. IN COOK COUNTY ILLINOIS.



SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. FOR BUILDING LINES, EASEMENTS AND OTHER RESTRICTIONS NOT SHOWN HEREON; REFER TO YOUR DEED, TITLE POLICY AND LOCAL ZONING ORDINANCE ETC.
- 2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND DECIMAL PARTS THEREOF.
- 3. REVIEW LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND RECORD MEASUREMENT ON THIS PLAT AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO SURVEYOR AT ONCE, BEFORE DAMAGE MAY OCCUR.
- 4. COMPARE ALL POINTS BEFORE BUILDING BY SAME.
- 5. WE DO NOT CERTIFY UTILITIES, THEREFORE, CONTACT UTILITIES COMPANIES BEFORE BUILDING.
- 6. BASIS OF BEARING IF SHOWN IS ASSUMED UNLESS NOTED.
- 7. DATE OF FIELD WORK IS DATE SHOWN IN CERTIFICATION.
- 8. NO MEASUREMENTS ARE TO BE ASSUMED BY SCALING.
- 9. ANY COMMENTS, MODIFICATIONS, ALTERATIONS AND REVISIONS MUST BE BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION WITHIN 90 DAYS OF DATE OF COMPLETION OF THIS SURVEY.
- 10. TREES AND UTILITIES ARE NOT SHOWN HEREON.
- 11. CATEGORY OF THIS SURVEY IS BASED UPON CLIENT'S REQUEST.
- 12. TO ENSURE LEGITIMACY OF THIS PLAT, IT MUST CARRY THE SEAL OF THE PROFESSIONAL LICENSEE WHO PREPARED THIS PLAT.
- 13. ALL BUILDING DIMENSIONS AND TIES ARE TO CURRENT SIDING MATERIAL AND NOT TO FOUNDATION.
- 14. ARCHITECT, OWNER OR ENGINEER SHOULD VERIFY AND REMEASURE BUILDING FOR BUILDING ADDITION PURPOSES.
- 15. NO ZEROX, SCANNED COPIES SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR.
- 16. DUE TO SNOW OR ICE COVERAGE, SOME IMPROVEMENTS MAY NOT BE SHOWN HEREON.


SUBJECT SITE AREA:
8,956.8± SQ. FT.



STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF DUPAGE

WE, PI SURVEYING PLLC, AN ILLINOIS PROFESSIONAL DESIGN FIRM DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SURVEY WAS MADE UNDER ITS SUPERVISION ON THE GROUND AND THE PLAT HEREON DRAWN IS A REPRESENTATION OF SAME. THIS PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONFORMS TO THE CURRENT ILLINOIS MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR BOUNDARY SURVEY.


DATED AT OAK BROOK, ILLINOIS THIS 24th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2025.

BY: 
ILLINOIS PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR NO. 035.003501
LICENSE EXPIRES 11/30/2026

ILLINOIS PROFESSIONAL DESIGN FIRM NO. 184.008811-0008

LEGEND

- (M) MEASURED DIMENSION
- (R) RECORD DIMENSION
- (D) DEED DIMENSION
- BSL BUILDING SETBACK LINE
- L ARC LENGTH DIMENSION
- R ARC RADIUS DIMENSION
- CH ARC CHORD DIMENSION
- PC POINT OF CURVATURE
- PT POINT OF TANGENCY
- PRC POINT OF RETURN CURVE
- ONL ONL
- OH OVERHANG
- TYP TYPICAL
- POB POINT OF BEGINNING
- POC POINT OF COMMENCEMENT
- ⊙ FOUND IRON PIPE "SIZE" (FIP)
- SET IRON PIPE "SIZE" (SIP)
- FOUND IRON ROD "SIZE" (FIR)
- SET IRON ROD "SIZE" (SIR)
- ⊕ CUT CROSS
- ⊕ FOUND CROSS
- ⊕ CUT NOTCH
- ⊕ FOUND NOTCH
- ⊙ FOUND MAG NAIL (FMAG)
- SET MAG NAIL (SMAG)
- CLF CHAIN LINK FENCE
- WF WOOD FENCE
- MF IRON/METAL FENCE
- PF PLASTIC/VINYL FENCE
- WRF WIRE FENCE
- GR GUARD RAIL
- RTW RETAINING WALL
-  BUILDING
-  CONCRETE
-  ASPHALT
-  WOOD
-  METAL
-  BRICK
-  GRAVEL
-  STONE
-  WATER
-  OVERHANG/CANOPY
-  ADDITION



ADDRESS: 1515 N FERNANDEZ PL, ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, IL, 60004

FIELD BY: TL
DRAWN BY: AB
FILE NUMBER: L25-1474
ORDERED BY: LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER K. STOS LLC
CATEGORY: BOUNDARY

Pi Surveying PLLC

LAND SURVEYORS



903 COMMERCE DRIVE, SUITE 210, OAK BROOK, IL 60523
PHONE: (773) 444-0445
www.pisurveying.com

COPYRIGHT NOTICE
THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF PI SURVEYING PLLC AND IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PROJECT OR SITE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED ON THE DRAWING AND CANNOT BE REPRODUCED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM PI SURVEYING PLLC



NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

REVISIONS	



RA03.1 CERTIFICATE (MANDATORY): A PERMANENT CERTIFICATE SHALL BE COMPLETED AND POSTED ON OR IN THE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION PANEL BY THE BUILDER OR REGISTERED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL. THE CERTIFICATE SHALL NOT COVER OR OBSTRUCT THE VISIBILITY OF THE CIRCUIT DIRECTORY OR THE DISCONNECT SWITCHES. THE CERTIFICATE SHALL LIST THE FOLLOWING:

- THE CERTIFICATE SHALL LIST THE PREDOMINANT R-VALUES OF INSULATION INSTALLED IN AND ON CEILING/ROOF, WALLS, FOUNDATION (SLAB, BASEMENT WALL, CRAWLSPACE WALL AND/OR FLOOR) AND DUCTS OUTSIDE CONDITIONED SPACE.
- THE CERTIFICATE SHALL LIST THE U-VALUES OF ALL GLAZING AND WINDOW COEFFICIENT (SHGC) OF PENETRATION, AND THE RESULTS FROM ANY REQUIRED DUCT SYSTEM AND BUILDING ENVELOPE AIR LEAKAGE TESTING DONE ON THE BUILDING. WHERE THERE IS MORE THAN ONE VALUE FOR EACH COMPONENT OF THE CERTIFICATE, THE CERTIFICATE SHALL LIST THE BEST VALUE.
- THE CERTIFICATE SHALL LIST THE TYPES AND EFFICIENCIES OF HEATING, COOLING AND SERVICE WATER HEATING EQUIPMENT. (CONT. SEE IECC)

ICC 2018 INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (IRC) W/ AMENDMENTS
NFPA 2017 NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODE (NEC) W/ AMENDMENTS
2017 ILLINOIS PLUMBING CODE, CURRENT EDITION
2018 ILLINOIS ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE, CURRENT EDITION

1515 N Fernandez Place



**Property to the left 3
1523 N Fernandez Place**



**Property to the left 2
1520 N Fernandez Place**



**Property to the left 1
1517 N Fernandez Place**



**Subject property
1515 N Fernandez Place**



**Property to the right 1
1513 Fernandez Street**



**Property to the right
1514 Ridge Street**



1525 N Ridge Avenue



1514 N Fernandez Place



1518 N Fernandez Place



SG Design, LLC

05634 Robbins St.
Winfield, IL 60190
630-969-9279
www.SGDesignLLC.com

BUILDING DEPARTMENT NOTE

THESE DOCUMENTS (PLANS & SPECIFICATIONS) ARE VALID FOR PERMITS ONLY WHEN THE ARCHITECTS ORIGINAL SEAL AND SIGNATURE APPEARS AND IS AFFIXED, AND UNLESS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN WRITING, ARE INTENDED AS A PERMIT SUBMITTAL FOR ONE (1) BUILDING ONLY.

© 2025 S.G. ARCHITECTS, INC.

THESE DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN PREPARED IN MY OFFICE UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE CONFORM TO THE CODES AND ORDINANCES OF THE STATE, COUNTY, CITY, OR VILLAGE TO WHICH THEY ARE SUBMITTED.

(VALID ONLY IF SIGNATURE APPEARS IN BLUE INK)

S.G. ARCHITECTS, INC.
STEPHEN L. GAWLIK, PRINCIPLE
No. 001-010162 EXP. 11-30-26
CORPORATE LICENSE
No. 194-000582 EXP. 04-30-27

PROJECT:

Custom
Residence:
Lot 22
1515 N Fernandez Place
Arlington Heights, IL

BUILDER:

TP Carpentry, Inc.
6823 W. Gunnison St.
Harwood Heights, IL 60706
Ph. 630.272.9142
Fax 708.867.6941

DATE: BY:

NO. ISSUES AND REVISIONS:

04-15-25 MDS

PRELIM. REVIEW

04-16-25 MDS

FINAL REVIEW/PRELIM. A.R.B.

<



SG Design, LLC

05634 Robbins St.
Winfield, IL 60190
630-969-9279
www.SGDesignLLC.com

BUILDING DEPARTMENT NOTE
THESE DOCUMENTS (PLANS & SPECIFICATIONS) ARE VALID FOR PERMITS ONLY WHEN THE ARCHITECT'S ORIGINAL SEAL AND SIGNATURE APPEARS AND IS AFFIXED, AND UNLESS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN WRITING, ARE INTENDED AS A PERMIT SUBMITTAL FOR ONE (1) BUILDING ONLY.

© 2025 S.G. ARCHITECTS, INC.

THESE DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN PREPARED IN MY OFFICE UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE CONFORM TO THE CODES AND ORDINANCES OF THE STATE, COUNTY, CITY, OR VILLAGE TO WHICH THEY ARE SUBMITTED.

(VALID ONLY IF SIGNATURE APPEARS IN BLUE INK)

S.G. ARCHITECTS, INC.
STEPHEN L. GAWLIK, PRINCIPLE
No. 001-010162 EXP. 11-30-26
CORPORATE LICENSE
No. 194-000582 EXP. 04-30-27

PROJECT:

Custom
Residence:
Lot 22
1515 N Fernandez Place
Arlington Heights, IL

BUILDER:

TP Carpentry, Inc.
6823 W. Gunnison St.
Harwood Heights, IL 60706
Ph. 630.272.9142
Fax 708.867.6941

NO. DATE: BY:

ISSUES AND REVISIONS:
04-15-25 MDS
PRELIM. REVIEW
04-16-25 MDS
FINAL REVIEW/PRELIM. A.R.B.

JOB NO. 25004

DATE: 03-24-25


DRAWN BY: MDS

SCALE: AS NOTED

SHEET NO.
-REAR ELEVATION
-RIGHT SIDE ELEV.
-REV. LEDGE SECTION


3

OF 9



RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1' 0"



REAR ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1' 0"

FINAL
REVIEW PLANS

ACCEPTED: _____ DATE: _____

Material Board



Windows Black




Facia & Gutters Black



Roof Black



Chicago Common Brick



James Hardie siding Chantilly white



WHITE & BLACK COLOR SCHEME EXAMPLES

COLOR SCHEME 1:

- White Siding
- White Trim
- White Fascia/Soffits
- White Gutters/Downspouts
- White Windows
- Black Roof





COLOR SCHEME 2:

- **White Siding**
- **White Trim**
- **White Fascia/Soffits**
- **White Gutters/Downspouts**
- **Black Windows**
- **Black Roof**






COLOR SCHEME 3:

- **White Siding**
- **White Trim**
- **White Fascia/Soffits**
- **Black Gutters/Downspouts**
- **Black Windows**
- **Black Roof**





COLOR SCHEME 4:

- **White Siding**
- **White Trim**
- **Black Fascia/Soffits**
- **Black Gutters/Downspouts**
- **Black Windows**
- **Black Roof**





COLOR SCHEME 5:

- **White Siding**
- **Black Trim**
- **Black Fascia/Soffits**
- **Black Gutters/Downspouts**
- **Black Windows**
- **Black Roof**

